

**Resolution 34/2025
of the Senate of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk
of 31 March 2025****on the introduction of amendments to the content
of the Regulations of the Doctoral School
at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk**

Acting pursuant to Article 205, sections 2-4 of the Act of 20 July 2018 on Higher Education and Science, the Senate of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music hereby resolves as follows:

§1

The Senate of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk introduces amendments to the content of the Regulations of the Doctoral School at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk as specified in the annex to this resolution.

§2

The remaining provisions of the Regulations of the Doctoral School at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk shall remain unchanged.

§3

This resolution shall come into force on the date of its adoption, with effect from 1 October 2025.

Appendix:

Regulations of the Doctoral School at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk.

Rector
of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of
Music
/-/
Prof. Dr hab. Ryszard Minkiewicz

**THE STANISŁAW MONIUSZKO
ACADEMY OF MUSIC
IN GDAŃSK**

**REGULATIONS
OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL**

GDAŃSK 2020

*Consolidated text
Introduced by Senate Resolution 27/2020
of 27 April 2020*

CONTENTS

I. ESTABLISHMENT AND ORGANISATION OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL	3
General Provisions	3
Aims of the Doctoral School	4
<u>Organisation of the Doctoral School.....</u>	<u>5</u>
Director of the Doctoral School.....	5
Doctoral School Council	7
Determinations of the Director of the Doctoral School	9
Commencement of Training at the Doctoral School.....	10
II. DOCTORAL SCHOOL STAFF	10
Supervisor and Subsidiary Supervisor.....	10
III. RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PHD STUDENT	12
IV. DOCTORAL STUDENTS' EDUCATION AT THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL	14
Programme of Study and Progress Monitoring	14
Research Plan.....	17
Scope of the Research Plan	18
Conditions for Extension of the PhD Thesis Submission Deadline	19
Removal from the PhD Student Register	19
V. INTERIM ASSESSMENT	20
VI. EVALUATION OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL	22
VII. RECRUITMENT TO THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL.....	23
VIII. FINAL PROVISIONS	23
APPENDICES	24

REGULATIONS OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL OF THE STANISŁAW MONIUSZKO ACADEMY OF MUSIC IN GDAŃSK

**Approved by Senate Resolution 27/2020 of 27 April 2020,
and agreed with the PhD Students' Council
of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk
(document dated 4 May 2020).**

Amendments:

- Senate Resolution 73/2020 of 7 September 2020, agreed with the PhD Students' Council (document dated 21 September 2020)
- Senate Resolution 109/2020 of 28 September 2020, agreed with the PhD Students' Council (document dated 6 October 2020)
- Senate Resolution 145/2020 of 7 December 2020, agreed with the PhD Students' Council (document dated 23 December 2020)
- Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023, agreed with the PhD Students' Council (document dated 28 April 2023)
- Senate Resolution 6/2024 of 2 February 2024 (negative opinion of the PhD Students' Council of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk; document dated 12 February 2024)
- Senate Resolution 34/2025 of 31 March 2025 agreed with the PhD Students' Council of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk (document dated 31 March 2025)

I. ESTABLISHMENT AND ORGANISATION OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 1

1. These Regulations apply to the education of PhD students at the Doctoral School run by the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk.
2. Whenever these Regulations refer to:
 - (1)'Act' means the Act of 20 July 2018, the Law on Higher Education and Science;
 - (2)'Academy' means the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk;
 - (3)'Senate' means the Senate of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk;
 - (4)'RDA' means the Artistic Discipline Council [Rada Dyscypliny Artystycznej] of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk;
 - (5)'School' means the Doctoral School of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk;
 - (6)'Director' means the Director of the Doctoral School of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk;
 - (7)'Council' means the Doctoral School Council of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk;
 - (8)'PhD student' means a PhD student pursuing education in the Doctoral School;
 - (9)'Applicant' means a person applying for training at the Doctoral School;
 - (10) 'Doctoral thesis' means a written work, including a scholarly monograph, a collection of published and thematically related research articles, an artistic work with descriptions in Polish and English, as well as an independent and distinct part of a collective work. For the specialisations composition, instrumental performance, vocal performance, conducting, eurhythmics and dance, the doctoral thesis shall consist of an artistic work and its description, whilst for the specialisations music theory and arts education within the field of musical art, the doctoral thesis shall consist of a written work;
 - (11) 'IPB' means the Research Plan (Indywidualny Plan Badawczy);
 - (12) 'Education programme' means the education programme of the Doctoral School at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk;
 - (13) 'Stage of study' means a semester;
 - (14) 'Learning outcomes' means the body of knowledge, skills and social competences

acquired by a student;

- (15) 'ECTS' means the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System, used to estimate the workload involved in achieving learning outcomes.
3. The Doctoral School is established by the Rector.
 4. The Doctoral School operates pursuant to the Act, the Statute, these Regulations and other internal legal acts in force at the Academy.
 5. Separate documents govern:
 - (1) conditions, procedures and dates for commencement and conclusion of admission to the Doctoral School;
 - (2) the education programme in the Doctoral School;
 - (3) detailed conditions and procedures for conducting proceedings concerning the conferment of the Doctor of Arts degree.
 6. Education in the School at the Academy is offered in the discipline of musical arts.
 7. PhD students may be Polish citizens as well as persons not holding Polish citizenship on the terms specified in the Act.
 8. A person who holds a Master's degree, Master of Engineering degree or equivalent may be admitted to the School. In exceptional cases justified by the highest quality of academic achievements, a person who is a graduate of an undergraduate degree or a student who has completed the third year of an integrated Master's programme may also be admitted.
 9. The direct supervisor of all PhD students in the Doctoral School is the Director of the Doctoral School.
 10. The PhD Students' Council represents all PhD students in the Doctoral School.

AIMS OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

§ 2

1. The primary aim of the School is to provide education for PhD students, particularly through:
 - (1) creating a supportive environment for PhD students, facilitating creative and research activities that will culminate in a doctoral thesis;
 - (2) enhancing knowledge, primarily disciplinary and interdisciplinary;
 - (3) developing artistic and academic independence;
 - (4) supporting the integration of PhD students undertaking artistic and research projects;
 - (5) supporting the dissemination of artistic work;
 - (6) supporting self-governance and PhD students' own initiatives.
2. Education in the Doctoral School constitutes an effective element of the Academy's development.

ORGANISATION OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

§ 3

1. The establishment of the Doctoral School, its restructuring or closure is made by direction of the Rector after consulting the Senate.
2. The Doctoral School at the Academy is managed by the Director.
3. The Doctoral School at the Academy is overseen by the Rector.
4. The Doctoral School Council operates within the Doctoral School at the Academy.
5. Administrative support for the education programme in the Doctoral School is provided by the Teaching Office.

DIRECTOR OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

§ 4

1. The Director is appointed and dismissed by the Rector from among the academic staff employed at the Academy as their primary place of work on a full-time basis.
2. A candidate for the position of Director:
 - (1) must hold the title of Professor or the degree of Habilitated Doctor and possess significant artistic or academic achievements;
 - (2) must not have reached the age of 70 at the date of commencement of tenure;¹
 - (3) must have completed supervision of at least one doctoral thesis;
 - (4) demonstrates commitment to organisational activities;
 - (5) demonstrates knowledge of the provisions of the Higher Education and Science Act of 20 July 2018;
 - (6) possesses high personal standards.
3. The Director is appointed after obtaining the opinion of the PhD Students' Council.
4. The Rector requests the PhD Students' Council to express within 14 days the opinion referred to in subsection 3. The ineffective expiry of the 14-day period is considered as expressing a positive opinion about the candidate for Director of the Doctoral School.
5. The requirement to seek the opinion of the relevant PhD Students' Council does not apply to the appointment of the Director of the first Doctoral School at the Academy.
6. The Director holds a managerial position within the meaning of Article 23, subsection 5 of the Act.
7. The Director's term of office lasts four years and begins on 1 October of the year in which the

¹ Section 4, subsection 2, item 2 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

Academy's governing body term begins.²

8. Academic staff members serving as single-person governing bodies of the Academy and their deputies may not be appointed to the position of Director of the Doctoral School.

§ 5

1. The Director's duties include specifically:

- (1) representing the School before the Academy's governing bodies;
- (2) preparing the School's strategy in accordance with the Academy's strategy;
- (3) providing opinions on the Regulations and changes to their content;
- (4) presenting, after obtaining opinions from the Council and the relevant body of the PhD Students' Council:
 - i. proposals concerning the introduction of changes to the education programme;
 - ii. the annual report on the School's activities, including results of the interim assessment of PhD students;
- (5) providing opinions on admission principles for the School;
- (6) ensuring the proper, impartial and lawful conduct of admission to the School, including participation in the work of the Admissions Committee;
- (7) managing the School's finances and resources under the supervision of the Rector;
- (8) supporting PhD students in conducting their research, particularly in implementing their IPB;
- (9) supporting PhD student mobility;
- (10) ensuring proper organisation of education and overseeing the proper course of the PhD student education process, including through assessing PhD students' implementation of the education programme;
- (11) preparing, in cooperation with the Council and in agreement with the relevant body of the PhD Students' Council, principles for evaluating PhD students of the School at all stages of study;
- (12) overseeing the proper, reliable and impartial conduct of interim assessment of PhD students, including appointing committees for interim assessment and reviewers for the purposes of this evaluation;
- (13) overseeing the quality of doctoral supervision, including ensuring supervisors' compliance with ethical principles;
- (14) overseeing, in cooperation with the relevant body of the PhD Students' Council, PhD students' knowledge of and compliance with ethical principles;

² Section 4, subsection 7 as adopted by Senate Resolution 73/2020 of 7 September 2020.

- (15) ensuring integration and cooperation among PhD students;
- (16) cooperating with the RDA;
- (17) ensuring, in cooperation with the Council, the effective functioning of the internal quality assurance system for education in the School;
- (18) issuing the appropriate certificate confirming that a PhD student has achieved learning outcomes for qualifications at level 8 of the Polish Qualifications Framework (PRK), which is necessary for initiating the PhD examination process; the certificate constitutes Appendix 3 to these regulations;³
- (19) issuing the appropriate certificate of completion of education at the Doctoral School; the certificate constitutes Appendix 4 to these Regulations;⁴
- (20) issuing administrative decisions and determinations in individual matters concerning PhD students in accordance with legal provisions;
- (21) maintaining documentation concerning PhD students, including the PhD student register;
- (22) other tasks and activities delegated by the Rector.

DOCTORAL SCHOOL COUNCIL

§ 6

1. The Rector establishes the Doctoral School Council following consultation with the Senate.
2. The Council serves in an advisory capacity in matters relating to doctoral training.
3. The Council comprises:
 - (1) the Director of the School as Chair;
 - (2) one representative from each programme of study offered by the Academy; the representative must hold at least the degree of Habilitated Doctor;⁵
 - (3) a PhD student representative nominated by the PhD Students' Council.
4. The term of office of the Council is four years, commencing on 1 October of the year in which the Director of the School takes office.⁶

§ 7

1. The duties of the Council include specifically:
 - (1) reviewing and monitoring the implementation of the development strategy and

3 Section 5, subsection 1, item (18) as adopted by Senate Resolution 34/2025 of 31 March 2025.

4 Section 5, subsection 1, item (19) as adopted by Senate Resolution 34/2025 of 31 March 2025.

5 Section 6, subsection 3, item (2) as amended by Senate Resolution 73/2020 of 7 September 2020.

6 Section 6, subsection 4 as amended by Senate Resolution 73/2020 of 7 September 2020.

- operational principles of the Doctoral School;
- (2) ensuring the high quality of the teaching and research processes of the Doctoral School;
 - (3) approving supervisors within 3 months of the commencement of studies by the PhD student;
 - (4) approving the method for assessing the implementation of the training programme;
 - (5) reviewing Research Plans;⁷
 - (6) ensuring the high quality of the recruitment process to the Doctoral School;
 - (7) considering appeals by PhD students against negative interim assessments;
 - (8) expressing opinions on:
 - i. the Doctoral School Regulations;
 - ii. principles and criteria for recruitment to the Doctoral School;
 - iii. matters concerning the training process and programme;
 - iv. principles for conducting interim assessments and ensuring their integrity;
 - (9) evaluating the Director's activities, including reviewing the annual report on the School's activities;
 - (10) overseeing the expenditure of funds available to the School;
 - (11) collaborating with the Director in developing principles and conducting internal evaluation of the School, in preparation for evaluation conducted by the Science Evaluation Committee;
 - (12) determining, after consulting the appropriate PhD Students' Council body, detailed criteria that must be met by a person appointed as supervisor or subsidiary supervisor, particularly concerning academic achievements and the quality of previous supervisory experience;
 - (13) appointing supervisors or subsidiary supervisors for PhD students and making changes to these appointments;
 - (14) reviewing the composition of interim assessment committees;
 - (15) analysing the results of periodic assessments of PhD students.

2. The Council may:

- (1) determine, after consulting the appropriate PhD Students' Council body, additional elements that should be included in Research Plans;
- (2) determine the minimum academic record required from a member of an interim assessment committee;

⁷ Section 7, subsection 1, item (5) as amended by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

- (3) determine the procedure and principles for preparing reviews during the interim assessment procedure;
 - (4) determine additional documents to be kept in the PhD student's personal file, in accordance with principles set out in separate regulations;
 - (5) apply to the Rector for the dismissal of the Director.
3. In justified cases, the Rector may, on the proposal of the Director, dismiss a member of the Council and appoint a new one.

§ 8

1. The Council is chaired by the Director. In cases where the Director is unable to participate in a meeting, the Council meeting is chaired by a person designated by the Director.
2. Council meetings are convened by the Director on their own initiative or at the request of at least 4 members of the Council.
3. Council meetings are held no less frequently than once per semester. The Director notifies Council members electronically of the date of the meeting and the planned agenda at least one week before the meeting.
4. Council resolutions are adopted by simple majority vote in the presence of at least half of the Council membership. In the event of a tie, the Chair's vote is decisive.
5. Resolutions on personal matters are adopted by secret ballot.
6. Council meetings are minuted by a secretary designated by the Director.
7. Council meetings may be conducted, and resolutions adopted, using electronic communication means that ensure specifically:⁸

(1) real-time transmission of the meeting between participants;

(2) real-time multilateral communication within which meeting participants can speak during the course of the meeting, while maintaining necessary security principles.

DETERMINATIONS OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

§ 9

1. Individual matters concerning PhD students are resolved by way of administrative decisions of the Director.
2. Individual matters concerning PhD students that are not subject to resolution by way of administrative decision are resolved by way of determination. The Director issues a determination without delay, but no later than within one month from the date of submission of the application, unless a specific provision provides otherwise.
3. The content of the determination referred to in subsection 2 should allow for establishing:

⁸ Section 8, subsection 7 added as amended by Senate Resolution 109/2020 of 28 September 2020.

- (1) the body issuing the determination;
 - (2) the date of issuing the determination;
 - (3) the addressee of the determination;
 - (4) the determination made in the matter;
 - (5) the legal and factual grounds for the determination;
 - (6) the Director's signature.
4. PhD students have the right to become acquainted with the content of determinations only in matters concerning them individually.
 5. In the case of granting a PhD student's application in full, it is possible to waive the justification of an administrative decision or the legal and factual grounds for a determination.
 6. Individual matters concerning PhD students are subject to resolution by way of administrative decision and by way of determination following the submission of an application by the PhD student.

§ 10

1. Administrative decisions and determinations of the Director in doctoral matters may be appealed by way of an application for reconsideration submitted within 14 days from the date of delivery of the decision or determination. The Director shall consider the application without delay, but no later than within one month from the date of submission of the application.
2. The Rector may, ex officio or upon application, revoke or amend any administrative decision or determination of the Director if it has been issued in breach of the law.
3. The Rector shall declare the invalidity of the Director's administrative decisions in accordance with the principles and procedures specified in the Code of Administrative Procedure.

COMMENCEMENT OF TRAINING AT THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

§ 11

1. A person admitted to the School acquires the rights of a PhD student upon taking the oath. The oath is taken within a period designated by the Director, no later than within 30 days from the commencement of training. The PhD student shall confirm in writing without delay that the oath has been taken, no later than within 30 days from the date of taking the oath.
2. A PhD student receives a PhD student identity card upon acquiring the rights of a PhD student. The PhD student identity card remains valid no longer than until the completion of training at the School, suspension of PhD student rights, or removal from the PhD student register.

II. DOCTORAL SCHOOL STAFF

§ 12

1. The Director of the School arranges teaching cover for modules across the Academy's faculties. The Director also organises monographic lectures and seminars delivered by professors and visiting lecturers coming to the Academy.
2. Time allocated to PhD student training counts towards the annual teaching load.
3. Persons from outside the Academy may be employed to deliver modules at the School.
4. The Academy enables PhD students to evaluate academic staff at least once per academic year regarding their fulfilment of training-related duties.

SUPERVISOR AND SUBSIDIARY SUPERVISOR

§ 13

1. Academic supervision of doctoral thesis preparation is provided by a supervisor or supervisors, or by a supervisor and subsidiary supervisor.
2. The thesis supervisor is appointed by the Council upon application by the PhD student with the consent of the candidate supervisor, within no more than 3 months from the date of commencement of training.
3. A supervisor may be a person who:
 - (1) holds the degree of Habilitated Doctor or the title of Professor, and a subsidiary supervisor may be a person who holds at least the degree of Doctor;⁹
 - (2) is employed at the Academy in a research or research-teaching position who has submitted a declaration of inclusion in the N number;
 - (3) whose artistic or academic output has scope similar to the subject matter of the doctoral thesis;
 - (4) not meeting the conditions specified in subsection 3, item 1, who is an employee of a foreign university or research institution, if the Senate considers that this person has significant achievements in the academic or artistic fields covered by the doctoral thesis.
4. A person cannot become a supervisor if such person has, in the last 5 years:
 - (1) supervised 4 PhD students who were removed from the PhD student register due to negative interim assessment results;
 - (2) supervised the preparation of theses by at least 2 persons applying for the doctoral degree who did not receive positive reviews from at least 2 reviewers;

⁹ Section 13, subsection 3, item (1) as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

- (3) received a negative evaluation as a result of an assessment of academic or artistic activity.
5. A candidate supervisor submits a declaration that the circumstances mentioned in subsection 4 do not apply to such person.
 6. A subsidiary supervisor may be a person holding a doctoral degree or degree of Doctor of Arts, having research record in the field of science or arts from the last 5 years.
 7. A candidate supervisor or subsidiary supervisor submits a declaration of consent to perform the mentioned functions in the given procedure for awarding the doctoral degree. The declaration constitutes Appendix 1 to these Regulations.¹⁰
 8. The supervisor and subsidiary supervisor may declare in writing resignation from further academic supervision of the doctoral thesis.
 9. In one academic year, supervisory responsibility may be undertaken for 1 PhD student. The Director may, in exceptional cases, increase this limit by one.
 10. A PhD student or the Director may apply to the Council for a change of supervisor or subsidiary supervisor. The application requires justification together with a declaration from the person proposed as supervisor of readiness to undertake supervision of the PhD student.
 11. In the case of an application for change of supervisor or subsidiary supervisor submitted by the supervisor or subsidiary supervisor, justification must be provided. The Director, in consultation with the PhD student, takes immediate action aimed at appointing a new supervisor.
 12. The Council, in consultation with the Director and the PhD student, makes a decision regarding the change of supervisor or subsidiary supervisor within 1 month from the date of receipt of the application.
 13. In the case of an application for change of supervisor submitted by the interim assessment committee, the committee, in consultation with the PhD student, takes action aimed at appointing a new supervisor.
 14. The supervisor's duties include:
 - (1) providing academic supervision of doctoral thesis preparation by the PhD student, including providing the PhD student with necessary substantive and methodological assistance in academic and artistic work;
 - (2) directing the PhD student's research work, including ensuring the PhD student has appropriate working conditions for conducting research and artistic activity;
 - (3) assisting the PhD student in preparing the Research Plans;
 - (4) promoting the PhD student's research and creative work and its results before appropriate Academy committees;
 - (5) providing opinions on applications and requests submitted by the PhD student during

¹⁰ Section 13, subsection 7 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

training;

(6) monitoring progress in doctoral thesis preparation and periodic assessment of progress in the PhD student's academic and artistic work, particularly in implementing Research Plans in the form of opinions on the PhD student's annual report;

(7) collaborating with the Director to monitor the PhD student's progress;

(8) collaborating with the subsidiary supervisor or another supervisor of the PhD student, if appointed;

(9) approving and monitoring the PhD student's research and artistic trips;

(10) approving and monitoring the PhD student's teaching practice in consultation with the Dean of the faculty where the practice takes place;

(11) preparing an opinion on the doctoral thesis.

15. The duties of a subsidiary supervisor include specifically providing opinions on Research Plans and performing auxiliary tasks, in agreement with the supervisor, in academic or artistic supervision of the PhD student.

16. The supervisor and subsidiary supervisor are entitled to a one-off payment for providing academic supervision of doctoral thesis preparation. The supervisor's payment amounts to 83%, and the subsidiary supervisor's amounts to 50% of a professor's salary, which is paid after completion of the doctoral degree awarding procedure as a result of which the degree was awarded.

§ 14

1. A person admitted to the School shall submit without delay to the Director an application for appointment of a supervisor, together with indication of the person proposed as supervisor. The application may include appointment of additional supervisors or a subsidiary supervisor. In the event of failure to submit an application for appointment of a supervisor within one month from the date of commencing training, the Council itself appoints a supervisor or supervisors.

2. The Director shall submit without delay to the Council the PhD student's application for appointment of a supervisor.

3. The Director may be appointed to the function of supervisor by the Rector, upon application by the PhD student.

4. The Director notifies the RDA of the appointment of a supervisor or supervisors by the Council.

III. RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PHD STUDENT

§ 15

1. A PhD student is entitled to to:

- (1) academic and substantive supervision during the process of preparing the PhD thesis, including support for scholarly development and the implementation of training;
- (2) change of supervisor or subsidiary supervisor;
- (3) extend, but not longer than two years, the deadline for submitting the PhD thesis;
- (4) use the equipment and research apparatus as well as the library and information system of the Academy;
- (5) conduct evaluations of the educational process, specifically regarding the work of the Director and administrative units of the Academy responsible for supporting the educational process;
- (6) rest breaks of no more than eight weeks per year;
- (7) a free first aid course organised by the Academy;
- (8) PhD student identity card;
- (9) receive a PhD scholarship;
- (10) apply for a student loan under the terms specified in the Act;
- (11) signal important issues affecting the Academy community.

§ 16

1. A PhD student must:

- (1) act in accordance with the Doctoral School Regulations;
- (2) respect the dignity of all members of the Academy community and maintain good academic conduct;
- (3) uphold the good name of the Academy;
- (4) strive for academic and artistic excellence;
- (5) conduct research in accordance with ethical principles;
- (6) obtain credit for modules and prepare academic works whilst respecting copyright and principles of academic integrity;
- (7) comply with generally applicable law and regulations in force at the Academy;
- (8) respect the Academy's property.

2. A PhD student furthermore must:

- (1) complete the training programme and the IPB in a timely manner;
- (2) submit an annual report on progress in preparing the PhD thesis in a timely manner;
- (3) present to the Director, within the timeframe specified in the IPB, the PhD thesis and related materials;
- (4) submit declarations for the purposes of evaluating the quality of academic activity;

- (5) participate in professional practice in the form of delivering teaching or assisting in its delivery;
- (6) notify the Director immediately of any changes to personal data, specifically: forename, surname, residential address and correspondence address;
- (7) notify the Director of taking up employment as a researcher in another institution or as an academic staff member at a different university and its scope;
- (8) notify the Director immediately of undertaking study at another doctoral school;
- (9) submit appropriate medical certificates confirming fitness to complete the training programme in the manner and on the terms specified by the Director.

§ 17

1. A PhD student bears disciplinary responsibility in accordance with the Act and the internal regulations of the Academy.
2. Persons removed from the list of Doctoral School students and persons who have completed the Doctoral School are required to return their PhD student identity cards immediately, settle all financial obligations to the Academy and submit a Clearance Certificate to the Teaching Department Office. The Clearance Certificate constitutes Appendix 2 to these Regulations.¹¹
3. A PhD student must notify the Director immediately of any changes in the course of studies, such as withdrawal from studies, suspension of studies.

IV. DOCTORAL STUDENTS' EDUCATION AT THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

PROGRAMME OF STUDY AND PROGRESS MONITORING

§ 18

1. Education at the Doctoral School lasts eight semesters and concludes with:
 - (1) submission of the PhD thesis along with appropriate declarations regarding: independent authorship, non-infringement of copyright, and that it has not been used in other procedures aimed at conferring a degree or title;
 - (2) obtaining the appropriate certificate confirming the achievement of learning outcomes for qualification at level 8 of the Polish Qualifications Framework (PRK), which is necessary to initiate doctoral proceedings.
2. Education at the Academy's Doctoral School is conducted in accordance with the training programme and IPB in Polish.¹²

11 Section 17, subsection 2 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

12 Section 18, subsection 2 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

3. The training programme is established by the Senate five months before the commencement of recruitment, after consulting the PhD Students' Council. In the event of unsuccessful expiry of the deadline specified in the Statutes, the requirement to seek an opinion is considered fulfilled.
4. The Senate determines the method of confirming learning outcomes at level 8 of the PRK.
5. The training programme may provide for professional practice in the form of delivering modules or assisting in their delivery, with a scope not exceeding 60 contact hours per year.
6. Doctoral education constitutes a form of various artistic and research projects as well as implementation of the training programme and aims to achieve independence and prepare the PhD thesis.
7. Changes to the training programme are introduced after consulting the appropriate body of the PhD Students' Council, in the procedure specified by the Act and in accordance with conditions adopted by the Senate.
8. Modules may be delivered in two equivalent modes: traditional and remote, utilising methods and techniques of distance learning.¹³
9. Remote teaching (distance learning) is understood as a method of delivering modules in which, during part of the period of its duration, the module leaders and Doctoral School students do not have direct contact with each other. The transmission of knowledge and monitoring of students' progress in learning may take place via electronic media. In justified cases, examinations concluding specific modules may, with the Rector's consent, take place outside the Academy premises using information technology that ensures monitoring of the examination process and its recording.¹⁴
10. The detailed procedure for delivering modules remotely is specified in the Rector's directive.¹⁵

§ 19

1. Completion of obligations specified in the training programme is assessed on a semester basis, unless otherwise indicated in the plan.
2. A module included in the training programme is delivered in accordance with the module syllabus containing specifically:¹⁶
 - (1) description of subject content;
 - (2) number of contact hours;
 - (3) learning outcomes;

13 Section 18, subsection 8 added consistent with Senate Resolution 109/2020 of 28 September 2020.

14 Section 18, subsection 9 added consistent with Senate Resolution 109/2020 of 28 September 2020.

15 Section 18, subsection 10 added consistent with Senate Resolution 109/2020 of 28 September 2020.

16 Section 19, subsection 2 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

- (4) forms of study;
- (5) teaching methods;
- (6) list of required and supplementary literature related to the module;
- (7) description of the requirements related to participation in sessions, including the permissible number of authorised absences;
- (8) principles of module assessment and completion (including resit assessment);
- (9) methods of verifying learning outcomes;
- (10) assessment criteria.

§ 20

1. The training programme at the Doctoral School comprises various training modules, including:
 - (1) core module (compulsory);
 - (2) subject-specific modules (optional modules specified in the IPB);
 - (3) elective module (optional sessions supplementing and developing individual work as well as professional and practical skills).
2. Sessions take place in the form of lectures, seminars, exercises, workshops, artistic projects, other creative activities, individual consultations, plenary and conference discussions, professional placements, webinars, video conferences, study visits outside the Academy.
3. Modules included in the training programme conclude with assessment in the form specified in the training programme.
4. For completing sessions, a PhD student receives ECTS credits, in accordance with the training programme.
5. [deleted]¹⁷

§ 21

1. The condition for completing the semester is fulfilling all requirements specified for the given semester in the training programme, including obtaining the required number of ECTS credits.¹⁸
2. The Director, after consulting the relevant body of the PhD Students' Council, announces the deadline, venue, and method of submitting the annual report and its template.
3. The PhD student's annual report, reviewed by the supervisor or supervisors, contains specifically information regarding:¹⁹

17 Section 20, subsection 5 deleted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

18 Section 21, subsection 1 as adopted by Senate Resolution 145/2020 of 7 December 2020.

19 Section 21, subsection 3 as adopted by Senate Resolution 145/2020 of 7 December 2020.

- (1) progress in implementing the training programme;
- (2) progress in implementing the IPB and in preparing the PhD thesis;
- (3) progress in teaching placements, if included in the training programme;
- (4) plans for further work on the PhD thesis;
- (5) the PhD student's academic and artistic achievements during the semester being assessed, including:
 - i. academic publications;
 - ii. participation in academic conferences;
 - iii. outreach activities;
 - iv. artistic and creative activities;
 - v. participation in research and artistic projects;
 - vi. academic scholarships received;
 - vii. grant applications submitted;
 - viii. study visits or placements;
 - ix. awards;
 - x. participation in professional development programmes, workshops, masterclasses or academic and artistic placements;
 - xi. organisational activities for the Academy.

4. The Director may specify the obligation to submit a peer-reviewed academic publication or confirmation of its acceptance for publication, or an artistic work of particular significance, together with the report from the eighth semester of education at the School.
5. The condition for completing a module from the subject-specific and elective modules is prior registration for sessions in that module.
6. Registration for sessions included in the training programme may be undertaken by the Director.

§ 22

1. A PhD student is assigned a consecutive number in the PhD student register.
2. The progress of education at the School is documented in the PhD student's personal file with the assigned number.
3. The PhD student's personal file contains specifically:
 - (1) register number;
 - (2) forename(s) and surname;
 - (3) personal data of the PhD student;

- (4) candidate's application for admission to the School together with supporting documentation;
 - (5) oath of allegiance signed by the PhD student;
 - (6) declarations submitted by the PhD student;
 - (7) requests and applications submitted to the Director;
 - (8) copy of the Council resolution on the appointment of a supervisor, supervisors or subsidiary supervisor;
 - (9) IPB together with all amendments made to it;
 - (10) semester reports which the PhD student is required to submit in accordance with these Regulations;
 - (11) record of module credits obtained by the PhD student at each stage of education, together with information on the outcome of the stage assessment;
 - (12) report for interim assessment;
 - (13) written outcome of the PhD student's interim assessment together with justification;
 - (14) information on completed teaching placements together with observations from their supervision, if included in the training programme;
 - (15) decisions regarding the granting of leave or suspension of studies;
 - (16) copy of the administrative decision on the conferment of the Doctor of Arts degree;
 - (17) opinions of the supervisor and subsidiary supervisor;
 - (18) reviews of the PhD thesis;
 - (19) in case of removal, decision on removal from the list of PhD students.
4. The Director may specify additional documents to be stored in the PhD student's personal file whilst maintaining the principles specified in separate regulations.
 5. The Director may consent to maintaining the PhD student's personal file in electronic form, in which case the file contains the documents referred to in subsection 3, in the form of their digital representation or as electronic documents.
 6. The Rector may specify detailed principles for maintaining documentation of the progress of education at the Doctoral School.

RESEARCH PLAN

§ 23²⁰

20 Section 23 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

1. A PhD student, in agreement with the supervisor or supervisors, develops an IPB containing specifically a timetable for preparing the PhD thesis and presents it to the Director within 12 months of commencing studies.
2. Where a subsidiary supervisor is appointed, the plan is presented after review by that supervisor.
3. The IPB is subject to review by the Doctoral School Council within one month of submission in written form, bearing the PhD student's handwritten signature.
4. The Chair of the Doctoral School Council may ask the PhD student to correct the IPB, in agreement with the supervisor or supervisors, and present it again for review. The Chair formulates corrections to the IPB and delivers them to the PhD student in writing.
5. The PhD student must submit the corrected IPB together with acceptance by the supervisor or supervisors within one month of delivery of the corrections referred to in subsection 4.
6. Failure to submit the IPB within the timeframe referred to in subsection 1, or failure to submit the corrected IPB in the manner specified in subsection 5, will result in removal from the list of PhD students.
7. After receiving a positive interim assessment, the PhD student may apply to make changes to the IPB. The change must be justified and allow for submission of the PhD thesis within the regulatory timeframe.
8. The decision to approve the amended IPB is made by the Chair of the Doctoral School Council after reviewing the justification assessment of the interim assessment committee.

SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH PLAN

§ 24

1. The IPB contains specifically:²¹
 - (1) research topic together with justification for undertaking it;
 - (2) research questions, theses or hypotheses;
 - (3) specification of research methods to be applied;
 - (4) outline of the current state of research concerning issues that are the subject of the PhD thesis, including literature on the subject;
 - (5) significance of the planned research for Polish culture;
 - (6) timetable for preparing the PhD thesis;
 - (7) specification of the form of the PhD thesis;
 - (8) specification of the form, time and place of realisation of the artistic work, if such is envisaged;

²¹ Section 24, subsection 1 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

- (9) planned date for submission of the PhD thesis;
 - (10) research tasks, including specification of any planned international research;
 - (11) planned participation in academic or artistic events, including: academic conferences, methodological seminars, workshops, masterclasses, domestic and international placements, concerts;
 - (12) planned grant applications;
 - (13) planned elements of the training programme from those available for selection, conducive to preparing the PhD thesis;
 - (14) proposal for new elements of the training programme that are essential for preparing the PhD thesis;
 - (15) planned measurable outcomes of the research conducted and artistic creativity pursued, as well as forms of their dissemination.
2. Implementation of the plan is subject to interim assessment at the midpoint of the education period.

CONDITIONS FOR EXTENSION OF THE PHD THESIS SUBMISSION DEADLINE

§ 25

1. The Director, upon application by a PhD student reviewed by the supervisor or supervisors, may consent to extending the deadline for submission of the PhD thesis specified in the IPB, but for no longer than one year, specifically in cases of:
 - (1) necessity to implement a research project funded from resources awarded through competitive procedures, specifically by the National Science Centre, National Centre for Research and Development, National Academic Exchange Agency or the Foundation for Polish Science;
 - (2) necessity to implement a significant artistic project;
 - (3) undertaking research or artistic visits, specifically research and artistic placements;
 - (4) temporary inability to conduct research caused by illness;
 - (5) necessity to provide personal care for an ill family member or child up to the age of six, or holding a disability certificate;
 - (6) necessity to conduct additional research essential for completing the PhD thesis.
2. In exceptional circumstances referred to in subsection 1, the Director, upon application by a PhD student reviewed by the supervisor, may consent to extending the deadline for submission of the PhD thesis specified in the IPB by a further year. The total period of extension of the deadline for submission of the PhD thesis may not exceed two years.
3. During the periods specified in subsections 1-2, a PhD student retains all rights of a PhD student, with the exception of the right to a PhD scholarship.

4. A PhD student who submits the PhD thesis earlier than the completion deadline specified in the training programme receives a PhD scholarship until the day when the completion deadline expires, but for no longer than 6 months.

REMOVAL FROM THE PHD STUDENT REGISTER

§ 26

1. The Director removes a PhD student from the register in the following circumstances:²²
 - (1) negative outcome of interim assessment;
 - (2) failure to submit the PhD thesis by the deadline specified in the IPB;
 - (3) withdrawal from studies, confirmed by the PhD student in writing;
 - (4) failure to commence studies;
 - (5) breach of the prohibition referred to in Article 200 subsection 7 (i.e. studying at another doctoral school) or Article 209 subsection 10 of the Act (i.e. employment as an academic staff member or researcher);
 - (6) disciplinary expulsion from the Doctoral School.
- 1a. In proceedings for removal of a PhD student from the register, in circumstances specified in subsection 1 item 5, the PhD student shall be required to submit, within no less than 30 days, withdrawal from studies at another doctoral school or from employment as an academic or researcher.²³
2. A PhD student may be removed from the register in the following circumstances:
 - (1) unsatisfactory progress in preparing the PhD thesis;
 - (2) non-compliance with the Doctoral School Regulations;
 - (3) failure to implement the IPB and the training programme.
3. Removal from the register takes place by administrative decision. The decision may be appealed by application for reconsideration to the Director.
4. Where an appeal is lodged regarding the application for reconsideration of the decision specified in subsection 1 item 1, the Director shall order a fresh interim assessment. The provisions governing interim assessment apply, except that the interim assessment committee shall additionally consider the application for reconsideration, and committee members may not include persons who conducted the original interim assessment. Documentation of the fresh assessment shall be forwarded to the Rector.
5. Unsatisfactory progress in preparing the PhD thesis, as specified in subsection 2 item 1, exists where current progress casts doubt on submission of the PhD thesis by the deadline specified

²² Section 26, subsection 1 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

²³ Section 26, subsection 1a added as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

in the IPB. Unsatisfactory progress may be determined on the basis of:

- (1) opinion of the supervisor or subsidiary supervisor;
- (2) the PhD student's semester report and supporting materials;
- (3) materials from the PhD student's work on the PhD thesis submitted upon request by the Director within a deadline of no less than 14 days, or failure to submit such materials within this period.

6. A PhD student's studies are suspended pending the final outcome of removal proceedings.

V. INTERIM ASSESSMENT

§ 27

1. Implementation of the IPB is subject to interim assessment at the midpoint of the education period specified in the training programme.
2. The Director, after consulting the Council, appoints an interim assessment committee to evaluate implementation of the IPB.
3. The work of the interim assessment committee is directed by its chair elected by the committee members.
4. The interim assessment committee comprises 3 persons, including at least 1 person holding the title of Professor of Arts or the Habilitated Doctor degree, in the discipline in which the PhD thesis is being prepared, employed outside the institution operating the Doctoral School. The supervisor and subsidiary supervisor may not be members of the interim assessment committee.²⁴
5. The Council may specify the minimum academic or artistic achievement required of a member of the interim assessment committee.
6. Where circumstances arise preventing a member of the interim assessment committee from participating in its work, the Director appoints a new member of the interim assessment committee in their place.
7. At the request of the PhD student, a representative of the relevant body of the PhD Students' Council may participate in the work of the interim assessment committee as an observer.
8. A person who is a member of the interim assessment committee and employed outside the institution operating the Doctoral School is entitled to remuneration amounting to 20% of a professor's salary.

§ 28

1. Interim assessment is conducted on the basis of materials submitted by the PhD student confirming implementation of the IPB, including a report on implementation of the IPB, and

²⁴ Section 27, subsection 4 as adopted by Senate Resolution 51/2023 of 24 April 2023.

an interview with the PhD student. The PhD student attaches to the report a questionnaire completed by them regarding cooperation with the supervisor.

2. The supervisor or supervisors attach the following to the PhD student's report on implementation of the IPB:
 - (1) an opinion on the PhD student's progress in implementing the IPB;
 - (2) a questionnaire regarding cooperation with the PhD student.
3. The Council, after consulting the relevant body of the PhD Students' Council, may specify detailed requirements regarding materials which the PhD student must submit together with the report on implementation of the IPB, and establish an earlier 'preliminary' interim assessment of the PhD student.
4. The Director, after consulting the relevant body of the PhD Students' Council, announces the deadline, location and method of submitting materials, including reports, questionnaires and opinions together with their templates.

§ 29

1. After reviewing the report and its attachments, the interim assessment committee conducts an interview with the PhD student, covering the topics presented in the report.
2. The interim assessment committee shall inform the PhD student of the date and location of the interview at least one week in advance. In justified cases, at the request of the PhD student, the date of the interview may be changed.
3. The interim assessment committee may invite the supervisor, supervisors or subsidiary supervisor of the PhD student to participate in the interview.
4. The interim assessment committee shall prepare minutes of the interview with the PhD student.

§ 30

1. The interim assessment committee issues a written positive or negative assessment together with justification. All members of the interim assessment committee shall sign the assessment and its justification.
2. The interim assessment committee issues a positive assessment if the PhD student is implementing the IPB without unjustified delays and their current activity indicates successful continued implementation of the IPB.
3. Where the conditions for issuing a positive assessment referred to in subsection 2 are not met, the interim assessment committee issues a negative assessment.
4. The assessment together with justification should be issued by the interim assessment committee within two months of receiving the complete set of materials forming the basis for formulating the interim assessment.
5. The justification of the assessment may contain an indication of desired changes to the IPB.

6. The outcome of the assessment together with justification is public.
7. The Director presents to the Council a report on the interim assessments conducted.

§ 31

1. During the conduct of the interim assessment, the interim assessment committee shall undertake an evaluation of the discharge of duties by the supervisor or supervisors and the subsidiary supervisor.
2. The interim assessment committee shall present the conclusions of the evaluation to the Director and to the persons who were subject to the evaluation.
3. The Director shall present a report on the evaluation conducted to the Council.

VI. EVALUATION OF THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

§ 32

1. The quality of education in the Doctoral School shall be subject to evaluation conducted in accordance with the principles set out in the Act.
2. The evaluation shall take place no less frequently than every 6 years according to the schedule established by KEN (Science Evaluation Commission), with the proviso that the first evaluation shall be conducted after the lapse of at least 5 years from the commencement of education within the School.
3. The evaluation may be conducted at times other than those specified in subsection 2 upon application by the Minister responsible for higher education and science.

§ 33

1. The evaluation shall take into account the following criteria:
 - (1) adequacy of the education programme and IPB to the learning outcomes for qualifications at level 8 of the PRK and their implementation;
 - (2) method of verification of learning for qualifications at level 8 of the PRK;
 - (3) qualifications of academic staff or research staff involved in education in the Doctoral School;
 - (4) quality of the recruitment process;
 - (5) quality of research or artistic supervision and support in conducting research activity;
 - (6) integrity of the conduct of interim assessment;
 - (7) internationalisation;
 - (8) effectiveness of doctoral training.
2. The outcome of the evaluation shall be an assessment made by the evaluation panel based on

the self-assessment report, prepared in Polish and English by the entity conducting the Doctoral School, and a site visit.

VII. RECRUITMENT TO THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL

§ 34

1. Recruitment to the Doctoral School shall be conducted by way of competition in accordance with the appropriate resolution of the Senate.
2. The results of the competition shall be public.

§ 35

1. Admission to the Doctoral School shall take place by way of entry on the PhD student register.
2. Refusal of admission to the Doctoral School shall take place by way of administrative decision, against the content of which there shall be a right to request reconsideration of the matter.

§ 36

1. A person may simultaneously be a PhD student in only one Doctoral School.
2. A person admitted to the Doctoral School shall commence education and acquire the rights of a PhD student upon taking the oath.

VIII. FINAL PROVISIONS

§ 37

1. These Regulations shall enter into force on 1 October 2020.
2. This document has been prepared pursuant to:
 - (1) the Act of 20 July 2018 on Higher Education and Science;
 - (2) the Act of 3 July 2018 on Provisions introducing the Higher Education and Science Act;
 - (3) the Statute of the Academy of Music in Gdańsk.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Declaration of a candidate for supervisor or subsidiary supervisor of consent to perform the aforementioned functions in the given proceedings for the conferment of a doctoral degree.

Appendix 2: Clearance certificate.

Appendix 3: Certificates confirming the achievement of learning outcomes for qualifications at level 8 of the PRK (Polish Qualifications Framework), which is required for the initiation of doctoral proceedings.

Appendix 4: Certificate of completion of education at the Doctoral School.

Declaration of Consent to Act as Supervisor or Subsidiary Supervisor

Gdańsk, _____ 20__

.....
(Full name, degree/title held)

.....
Address

.....
University/Institution

**To: The Director of the Doctoral School
of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music
in Gdańsk**

.....

I hereby consent to my appointment as supervisor/subsidiary supervisor for the
doctoral thesis of:

Mr/Ms.....

I also declare that none of the circumstances specified in § 13, section 4 of the Doctoral
School Regulations apply to me.

Yours sincerely,

.....
Signature

.....
Where applicable, please indicate proposals for supervisors or subsidiary supervisor.

CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE

Name and surname of the PhD student:

Register number:

Specialisation:

It is hereby certified that the aforementioned PhD Student does not have any obligations to the following units:

Lp	Unit name	Date	Stamp and signature
1	LIBRARY building B, room 114		
2	RECORDING STUDIO building B, room 101		
3	ADMINISTRATION AND FACILITIES DEPARTMENT building A, room 112		
4	STUDENT RESIDENCE Łąkowa 1-2 Str.		

A copy of the clearance certificate was forwarded to the RDA Office via e-mail to the address: awanse@amuz.gda.pl on (date filled in by Teaching Office staff)

.....
Date.....
Signature of Teaching Office staff

Gdańsk,

.....
(*Doctoral School stamp*)**Learning Outcomes at Level 8 of the Polish Qualifications Framework
Achieved Through Implementation of the Research Plan (IPB)¹
in the Doctoral School**

Reference to learning outcomes specified in the Education Programme of the Doctoral School at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk, constituting the Appendix to Senate Resolution 29/2020 of 27 April 2020 regarding the establishment of the 'Education Programme of the Doctoral School at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk'

mgr Forename and surname, specialisation**Studies at the Doctoral School
of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk: dates (from – to)****Supervisor: forename and surname****PhD thesis title:**

¹ To be completed by the Director of the Doctoral School at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk in cooperation with the supervisor(s) and subsidiary supervisor.

Learning Outcomes Achieved	Code 8 PRK	IPB YES/NO
Knowledge: the PhD student knows and understands		
<i>teaching methods and techniques</i>	P8S_WG	
<i>global scholarly and technological achievements in the given academic discipline and their implications for practice</i>	P8U_WG	
<i>global scholarly and technological achievements comprising theoretical foundations and general issues as well as selected specific issues relevant to the given academic discipline</i>	P8S_WG	
<i>developmental trends in the academic discipline</i>	P8S_WG	
<i>research methodology</i>	P8S_WG	
<i>principles of disseminating results of scholarly activity, including through open access</i>	P8S_WG	
<i>fundamental dilemmas of contemporary civilisation; economic, legal, ethical and other significant conditions of scholarly activity; basic principles of knowledge transfer to the economic and social sphere and commercialisation of results of scholarly activity and know-how related to these results</i>	P8S_WK	
Skills: the PhD student is able to		
<i>prepare and conduct teaching sessions in a modern manner related to the academic discipline</i>	P8S_UK P8S_UU	
<i>acquire necessary information related to conducted research, using sources including those in English</i>	P8S_UK P8S_UO	
<i>analyse and creatively synthesise scholarly and creative achievements to identify and solve research problems and those related to innovative and creative activity</i>	P8S_UW	
<i>create new elements of scholarly and creative achievements</i>	P8S_UW	
<i>independently plan their own development and inspire the development of others; participate in the exchange of experiences and ideas, including in an international environment</i>	P8S_UW	
<i>utilise knowledge for creative identification, formulation and innovative solution of complex problems or performance of research tasks, in particular:</i> • define the aim and subject of scholarly research, formulate research hypotheses, • develop research methods, techniques and tools and apply them creatively, • draw conclusions based on scholarly research results	P8S_UW	
<i>critically analyse and evaluate results of scholarly research, expert activity and other creative works and their contribution to the development of knowledge</i>	P8S_UW	
<i>transfer results of scholarly activity to the economic and social sphere</i>	P8S_UW	
<i>communicate on specialist topics to enable active participation in national and international scholarly communities</i>	P8S_UK	
<i>disseminate results of scholarly activity, including in popular forms</i>	P8S_UK	
<i>initiate debate</i>	P8S_UK	
<i>participate in scholarly discourse</i>	P8S_UK	
<i>use a foreign language at B2 level of the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages to enable participation in international scholarly and professional environments</i>	P8S_UK	
<i>plan and implement individual and team research or creative projects, including in an international environment</i>	P8S_UO	
<i>independently plan and act for their own development and inspire and organise the development of others</i>	P8S_UU	
<i>plan classes or groups of classes and deliver them using modern methods and tools</i>	P8S_UU	
Social competences: the PhD student is ready to		
<i>conduct independent research that expands existing scholarly and creative achievements</i>	P8U_KK	
<i>undertake challenges in the professional and public sphere, taking into account:</i> • their ethical dimension, • responsibility for their consequences and shaping models of proper conduct in such situations	P8U_KK	
<i>critically evaluate achievements within the given academic discipline</i>	P8S_KK	
<i>critically evaluate their own contribution to the development of the given academic discipline</i>	P8S_KK	
<i>recognise the importance of knowledge in solving cognitive and practical problems</i>	P8S_KK	
<i>fulfil social obligations of researchers and creators</i>	P8S_KO	
<i>initiate activities for the public interest</i>	P8S_KO	
<i>think and act in an entrepreneurial manner</i>	P8S_KO	
<i>maintain and develop the ethos of research and creative communities, including:</i> • conducting scholarly activity independently, • respecting the principle of public ownership of results of scholarly activity, taking into account intellectual property protection principles	P8S_KR	

Date:

.....
stamp and signature of the Director of the Doctoral School
at the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk



Akademia Muzyczna
im. Stanisława Moniuszki
w Gdańsku

.....
Doctoral School stamp

Gdańsk,

Certificate No.:

**CERTIFICATE
OF COMPLETION OF EDUCATION IN THE DOCTORAL SCHOOL**

This is to certify that Mr/Ms
born on in

was, during the period

from to

a PhD student at the Doctoral School of the Stanisław Moniuszko Academy of Music in Gdańsk,
completed the research plan and submitted a PhD thesis entitled:

.....
.....
.....

together with a positive opinion from the supervisor, thereby completing education in the Doctoral School in the discipline of **musical arts**.

Mr/Ms has achieved the learning outcomes for qualifications at Level 8 of the Polish Qualifications Framework as specified in the completed Education Programme of the Doctoral School.

PhD thesis supervisor:

.....
stamp and signature
of the Doctoral School Director